

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,367	02/23/2007	Philippe Guillot	17250/017001	2146
OSHA LIANG	7590 06/06/201 H. I. P	EXAM	IINER	
TWO HOUST	ON CENTER	TOLENTINO, RODERICK		
909 FANNIN, HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110031011, 1	24 77010		2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com hathaway@oshaliang.com kennedy@oshaliang.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/573,367	GUILLOT ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
RODERICK TOLENTINO	2439			

	RODERICK TOLENTINO	2439	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Exeración of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFR 1.13 after SIX (1) MONTHS from fire mailing date of this communication. 1. Fallur to requi writin the act or exercised portion for reply will, by attailute. Any reply received by the Office later than three motinis after the mailing aerared patent term adjustment. See 37 OFR 1.794(b).	(TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	V. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2006 is/lare: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Ci	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Application of the process of the proce	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
_			

1)	M	Notice
----	---	--------

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 948)	Paper No(s)/Mall Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	

Application/Control Number: 10/573,367 Page 2

Art Unit: 2439

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 13 and 20 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/14/2011 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as necessitated by amendment by applicant on 02/14/2011.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2439

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 1 7, 11 13, 15, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maillard et al. U.S. Patent No. (6,286,103) in view of Kirkland U.S. PG-Publication No. (2004/0264700).
- As per claims 1 and 15, Maillard discloses assigning the first key to the decoder, 6. wherein the decoder and the portable security module form a first receiving decoding system among a plurality of receiving decoding systems in the broadcasting network, wherein each receiving decoding system is configured to descramble scrambled audiovisual data received via the broadcasting network (Maillard, Col. 2 Lines 13 - 20, key for decoding), determining a second key according to the first key, such that a combination of the first key and the second key is congruent to a pairing system key. wherein the pairing system key is common to each receiving decoding system and allows for decryption of encrypted control data he encrypted control data being identical for each receiving decoding system (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 55 - 63, key pair for decoder and smart card), assigning and the second key to the portable security module to obtain a pairing of the decoder and the portable security module (Maillard, Col. 1 Lines 57 -64, portable decoder) but fails to teach selecting a first key, the first key being unique in the broadcasting network and being dedicated to a single device in the broadcasting network. However, in an analogous Kirkland teaches selecting a first key, the first key being unique in the broadcasting network and being dedicated to a single device in the broadcasting network (Kirkland, Paragraph 0005, key pair unique between the devices).

Art Unit: 2439

- 7. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Kirkland's wireless bridge for secure dedicated connection to a network with Maillard's apparatus for encrypted data stream transmission because it offers the advantage of preventing unauthorized users from transmitting and receiving wireless signals. (Kirkland, Paragraph 0004).
- 8. As per claim 2, Maillard discloses the control data enables to descramble the scrambled audiovisual information, the method further comprising: receiving at the first decoding system the encrypted control data; using the first key at the first element and using the second key at the second element to decrypt the encrypted control data (Maillard, Col. 1 Lines 57 64, decryption of data).
- As per claim 3, Maillard discloses the control data is a control word, the audiovisual information being scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 6 Lines 25 – 43, Control Word).
- As per claim 4, Maillard discloses the control data is an Entitlement Control
 Message (ECM) comprising a control word, the audiovisual information being scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 6 Lines 44 54, ECM).
- 11. As per claim 5, Maillard discloses the control data is an exploitation key, the exploitation key enabling to decode a control word, the audiovisual information being scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 13 24, decrypted Control word).
- As per claim 6, Maillard discloses the control data is an Entitlement Management
 Message (EMM) comprising an exploitation key enabling to decode a control word, the

Art Unit: 2439

audiovisual information being scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 7 Lines 1 – 11. encrypted EMMs).

- As per claim 11, Maillard discloses the encrypted information is the scrambled audiovisual information (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 13 – 24, Scrambled audiovisual).
- 14. As per claim 12, Maillard discloses the encrypted information is a control word, the audiovisual information being scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 13 24, Scrambled audiovisual).
- 15. As per claim 13, Maillard discloses respectively attributing the first key and the second key at least to a third element and a fourth element forming a second decoding system distinct from the first decoding system (Maillard, Col. 2 Lines 13 20, key for decoding).
- 16. As per claim 16, Maillard discloses receiving means to receive the broadcasted encrypted control data; a pair of decryptions comprising a first decryption and a second decryption respectively located in the first element and the second element, the pair of decryptions enabling to decrypt the broadcasted encrypted control data using the first key and the second key (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 55 63, key pair for decoder and smart card).
- 17. As per claim 19, Maillard discloses the control data is a control word, the audiovisual information being scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 13 24, Scrambled audiovisual).
- 18. As per claim 20, Maillard discloses the control data is an exploitation key, the exploitation key enabling to decode a control word, the audiovisual information being

Art Unit: 2439

scrambled using the control word (Maillard, Col. 8 Lines 13 – 24, Scrambled audiovisual).

- As per claim 21, Maillard discloses the first element is a decoder; the second element is a portable security module (Maillard, Col. 1 Lines 57 – 64, portable decoder).
- Claims 7 10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maillard et al. U.S. Patent No. (6,286,103) and Kirkland U.S. PG-Publication No. (2004/0264700) in view of Kocher et al. U.S. PG-Publication No. (2001/0002486).
- 21. As per claim 7, Maillard fails to teach selecting a first prime number p and a second prime number q; calculating a modulus number n as being equal to a product of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; selecting an encrypting key e as being smaller to the modulus number and as being prime with a function of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; determine a private key as being equal to an inverse of the encrypting key modulus the function of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; selecting the first key and the second key such that a product of the first key and the second key equals the private key modulo the function of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; erasing the first prime number p and the second prime number q; and the second prime number q and the second prime number q; calculating a modulus number n as being equal to a product of the first prime number p and the second prime number q and the second prime number p and the second prime number q; selecting an encrypting key e as being smaller to the modulus number and

Art Unit: 2439

as being prime with a function of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; determine a private key as being equal to an inverse of the encrypting key modulus the function of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; selecting the first key and the second key such that a product of the first key and the second key equals the private key modulo the function of the first prime number p and the second prime number q; erasing the first prime number p and the second prime number q (Kocher, Paragraph 0076, RSA).

- 22. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Kocher's leak-resistant cryptographic method in view of Maillard's apparatus for encrypted data stream transmission because it offers the advantage of preventing attackers from accessing keys (Kocher, Paragraph 0003).
- 23. As per claim 8, Maillard as modified teaches receiving at each receiving decoding system a message comprising the encrypted control data; decrypting the encrypted control data using the first key at the first element and the second key at the second element (Maillard, Col. 1 Lines 57 64, decryption of data).
- 24. As per claim 9, Maillard as modified teaches the encrypted control data is decrypted using a discrete logarithms algorithm, the method further comprising: selecting a prime number q; selecting a primitive root of the prime number g; and wherein a product of the first key and the second key equals a private key modulo the prime number (Kocher, Paragraph 0076, RSA).
- As per claim 10, Maillard as modified teaches receiving at each receiving decoding system a message comprising an encrypted information encrypted with a

Art Unit: 2439

cession key, the message also comprising the primitive root of the prime number g power a random number k; using the first key at the first element and using the second key at the second element to calculate the cession key from the prime number power the random number k; decrypting the encrypted information using the cession key (Kocher, Paragraph 0076, RSA).

- 26. As per claim 17, Maillard fails to teach wherein the broadcasted encrypted control data is decrypted using a discrete logarithm algorithm. However, in an analogous art Kocher teaches the broadcasted encrypted control data is decrypted using a discrete logarithm algorithm (Kocher, Paragraph 0076, RSA).
- 27. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Kocher's leak-resistant cryptographic method in view of Maillard's apparatus for encrypted data stream transmission because it offers the advantage of preventing attackers from accessing keys (Kocher, Paragraph 003).
- As per claim 18, Maillard as modified teaches the broadcasted encrypted control data is decrypted using a RSA algorithm (Kocher, Paragraph 0076, RSA).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RODERICK TOLENTINO whose telephone number is (571)272-2661. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am to 5pm.

Art Unit: 2439

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roderick Tolentino Examiner Art Unit 2439

Roderick Tolentino /R. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 2439

/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439